

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
06/26/2000	THOMAS JUNG	SPM-290-A	9266
90 04/16/2002			
BASILE		EXAMINER	
YOUNG & BASILE 3001 W BIG BEAVER ROAD		MARKHAM, WESLEY D	
084		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
001		1762	13
	06/26/2000 90 04/16/2002 BASILE SILE	06/26/2000 THOMAS JUNG 90 04/16/2002 BASILE SILE EAVER ROAD	06/26/2000 THOMAS JUNG SPM-290-A 90 04/16/2002 BASILE EXAMI SILE MARKHAM, 084

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Addison Antion	09/529,192	JUNG ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wesley D Markham	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore; further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials are the carned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in training Action, or (2) the date set forth in training Action SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THAT IT IS OF THE CONTROL OF THE	if the linal rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; of ection, even if timely filed	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected cla	ıms.		
NOTE: see attached advisory action.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.					
4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7 ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3 :				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		= .			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni			miner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other					
LLS Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/529,192

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION / ADVISORY ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's proposed amendment C, filed as paper #12 on April 8, 2002, in which the applicant proposed to amend independent Claims 1 and 14 and cancel Claims 10 and 11. However, this amendment has not been entered because it raises new issues that would require further searching and consideration. Specifically, applicant's proposed amended independent Claims 1 and 14 would now require that the substrate surfaces are treated by a hollow-cathode glow discharge which is activated only by a DC voltage, a pulsed DC voltage, or a low-, intermediate-, or high-frequency AC voltage. As this limitation has not previously been present in the claims, inclusion of the limitation into independent Claims 1 and 14 would require further searching and consideration, and therefore the applicant's proposed amendment C has not been entered.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed on April 8, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Specifically, the majority of the applicant's arguments are drawn to the claims as the applicant proposed to amend them in proposed amendment C. However, since this amendment has not been entered for the reasons set forth in paragraph 1 above, the applicant's arguments regarding the proposed amendment are moot.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,192

Art Unit: 1762

4. The applicant does argue that Echizen et al. do not teach a hollow cathode effect, despite the hollow shape, because the dielectric tube "103" makes the movement of the electrons perpendicular to the cathode surface impossible, resulting in a reduction of a high ion density. In response, the applicant has provided no evidence to support this point. In addition, the examiner notes that the applicant's reasoning only appears to be sound in a circumstance in which the dielectric tube takes up the entire film-forming space. This is clearly not the case in Echizen et al. (See, for example, Figures 1 - 4 and corresponding descriptions). Therefore, the electrons in Echizen et al. would have had sufficient room to move perpendicular to the cathode surface and produce a high number of charge carriers, thereby providing a hollowcathode effect as claimed by the applicant. Also, please note applicant's specification on page 3, lines 11 - 13, in which the applicant describes a "hollowcathode discharge" according to their invention to also include a discharge in the transition region between hollow-cathode discharge and normal discharge. This indicates that the applicant has not intended to limit their claims to a purely "hollowcathode discharge", further supporting the examiner's position regarding the Echizen et al. reference.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wesley D Markham whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/529,192

Art Unit: 1762

(703) 308-7557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Wesley D Markham Examiner Art Unit 1762

₩**//** WDM April 15, 2002

SHRIVE P. BECK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700